

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
scalequalaccess@yahoo.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
OMAR LUNA

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

OMAR LUNA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RJD-7843, LLC; and DOES 1 to 10,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff OMAR LUNA ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants RJD-7843, LLC;
and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers
from multiple sclerosis and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires
the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

1 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real
2 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,
3 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

4 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

5 10. In or about April of 2023, Plaintiff went to the Business.

6 11. The Business is a restaurant business establishment, open to the public, and
7 is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.
8 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

9 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
10 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
11 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

12 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
13 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 14 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
15 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
16 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the
17 persons with disabilities.
- 18 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
19 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
20 failed to paint the ground as required.
- 21 c. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
22 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
23 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the space with
24 the International Symbol of Accessibility.

25 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the
26 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and
27 patronize the Business, however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because
28

1 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are
2 removed.

3 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
4 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
5 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-
6 Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

7 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
8 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
9 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

10 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
11 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
12 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
13 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
14 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
15 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

16 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

18 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
19 paragraphs in this complaint.

20 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
21 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
22 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
23 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
24 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

25 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 26 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
- 27 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
- 28 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations

1 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
2 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
3 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
4 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

5 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
6 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
7 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
8 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
9 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
10 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
11 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
12 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

13 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
14 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
15 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
16 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
17 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
18 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
19 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

20 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
21 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
22 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
23 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
24 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
25 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
26 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
27 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
28 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered

1 area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost
2 and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

3 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
4 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every
5 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
6 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
7 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
8 be van parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

9 22. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
10 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
11 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting
12 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
13 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
14 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
15 11B-502.3.3.

16 23. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there was no “NO
17 PARKING” painted on the parking surface. Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the
18 access aisle with the minimum width of 96 inches.

19 24. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
20 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
21 view of a wheel chair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36” wide
22 by 36” high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
23 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
24 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
25 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
26 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
27 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.
28

1 25. Here, Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the surface with the
2 International Symbol of Accessibility as required.

3 26. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
4 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
5 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

6 27. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
7 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related
8 regulations.

9 28. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
10 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
11 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
12 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
13 by individuals with disabilities.

14 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

16 29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
17 paragraphs in this complaint.

18 30. California Civil Code § 51 states, "All persons within the jurisdiction of this
19 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
20 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
21 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
22 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
23 establishments of every kind whatsoever."

24 31. California Civil Code § 52 states, "Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
25 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable
26 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be
27 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
28 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any

1 attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
2 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

3 32. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, "a violation of the right of any
4 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
5 shall also constitute a violation of this section."

6 33. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
7 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
8 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
9 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
10 51 and 52.

11 34. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
12 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
13 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

14 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

16 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
17 paragraphs in this complaint.

18 36. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, "Individuals with disabilities shall be
19 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
20 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
21 and physicians' offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
22 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
23 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
24 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
25 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
26 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
27 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

1 37. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
2 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
3 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
4 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
5 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
6 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
7 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be
8 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
9 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

10 38. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an
11 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
12 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
13 the access of any person in violation of that act.

14 39. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
15 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
16 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
17 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

18 40. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
19 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
20 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

21 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

23 41. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
24 paragraphs in this complaint.

25 42. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
26 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each
27 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
28

1 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
 2 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

3 43. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
 4 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
 5 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
 6 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
 7 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
 8 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
 9 persons with disabilities.

10 44. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
 11 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
 12 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
 13 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
 14 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
 15 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
 16 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
 17 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

18 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

19 **NEGLIGENCE**

20 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 21 paragraphs in this complaint.

22 46. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
 23 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
 24 to the Plaintiff.

25 47. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
 26 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

27 48. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
 28 has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;
2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;
3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition practices;
4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;
5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and
6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: July 17, 2023

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
Jason J. Kim, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff